

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,397	SHIRLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janet L. Andres	1646	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Janet L. Andres.

(3) Murray Spruill.

(2) Leslie Henry.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2004

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative described the calculations which led to the conclusion that the cited art did not teach ionic strenghts of 20 mM or below.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)